Background

Results

Conclusion

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

## Infinity Crystals for Certain Generalized Quantum Groups

#### Uma Roy Mentor: Seth Shelley-Abrahamson PRIMES Conference

May 17, 2015

Conclusion

## **Classical Case**

### Definition (Quiver)

A *quiver* is a set of vertices with directed edges between them where there may be loops at a vertex or multiple edges between a pair of vertices.

From a quiver without loops we can associate:

- an algebraic object known as a quantum group
- ▶ a combinatorial object called a *crystal*, known as  $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$

**Classical case:** Previous work provides a combinatorial interpretation for  $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$  associated to quantum groups of classical type corresponding to certain quivers with no loops at a vertex.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

## Our Project

Main Motivation: In [Bozec], a definition of a generalized quantum group was given, that allows for quivers with loops. We attempt find combinatorial interpretations for  $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$  of these generalized quantum groups.

We work with the following quiver:



Figure: We denote this quiver as *L*.

Conclusion

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

## Notions about Quivers

We fix a quiver Q.

#### Definition

If j is a vertex of Q that has no loops, then it is called a *real* vertex.

#### Definition

If j is a vertex of Q with more than 0 loops, then it is called an *imaginary* vertex.

Example



Figure: The vertex i is imaginary and the vertex j is real.

## Quantum group associated to L

We study the negative portion of the quantum group, which we denote as  $U^-$ .  $U^-$  is an algebra generated by the elements  $F_{i,\ell}$  for  $\ell > 0$  and  $F_j$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}(v)$  (rational functions of the variable v).

#### Example

 $F_{i,1}F_{i,8} + \frac{1+v}{v^3}F_jF_{i,13}F_j + \frac{v^2}{1+v^4}F_jF_{i,2}$  is a member of  $U^-$ . We also have some relations between elements of the quantum group:

#### Definition (Serre Relation)

For any  $\ell > 0$ , the following equality holds:

$$F_{j}^{(2)}2F_{i,\ell}+F_{i,\ell}F_{j}^{(2)}=F_{j}F_{i,\ell}F_{j}$$

Conclusion

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Kashiwara Operators

The Kashiwara operators act on elements of  $U^-$ . There are 2 types:

- $\tilde{f}_j$  (corresponding to real vertices j)
- $\tilde{f}_{i,\ell}$  for  $\ell > 0$  (corresponding to imaginary vertices *i*)

#### Example

$$\begin{split} \tilde{f}_{j}(F_{j}) &= F_{j}^{(2)} \\ \tilde{f}_{i,1}(F_{j}) &= F_{i,1}F_{j}. \\ \tilde{f}_{j}(F_{i,1}F_{j}) &= F_{j}(F_{i,1}F_{j} - v^{-1}F_{j}F_{i,1}) + v^{-1}F_{j}^{(2)}F_{i,1} \end{split}$$

# $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ of $U^-$

## Definition $(\mathcal{L}(\infty))$

 $\mathcal{L}(\infty)$  is the  $\mathbb{Q}(v^{-1})$  linear span of all elements of  $U^-$  that can be obtained from applying successive Kashiwara operators to 1.

## Definition $(\mathcal{B}(\infty))$

 $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$  is the set of elements of  $U^-$  obtained from applying successive Kashiwara operators to 1 in the quotient of  $\mathcal{L}(\infty)$  by  $v^{-1}\mathcal{L}(\infty)$ .

We are interested in describing when 2 sequences of Kashiwara operators applied to 1 are equal in  $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ .

### Example

 $ilde{f}_{i,1} ilde{f}_j^2(1) = ilde{f}_j ilde{f}_{i,1} ilde{f}_j(1)$ 

## Kashiwara operators on $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$

Given a lattice with a j and i axis, we can associate each element of  $U^-$  with a point on the lattice based on the number of j's and i's within the element (where  $F_{i,\ell}$  adds  $\ell$  number of i's).

#### Example

The element  $F_{i,2}F_jF_{i,3}F_j^2$  is associated to the lattice point (3,5). As operators,  $\tilde{f}_j$  moves to the right on the lattice and  $\tilde{f}_{i,\ell}$  moves  $\ell$  steps upward on the lattice.

#### Example

$$\begin{split} & \tilde{f}_{i,2}(F_j) = F_{i,2}F_j \text{ and} \\ & \tilde{f}_j(F_{i,1}F_j) = F_j(F_{i,1}F_j - v^{-1}F_jF_{i,1}) + v^{-1}F_j^{(2)}F_{i,1}. \end{split}$$

We can view sequences of Kashiwara operators applied to 1 as a path on the lattice.

Conclusion

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Conjectures

#### Conjecture

For any  $\ell$ , we have the following equality in  $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ :

$$ilde{f}_{i,\ell} ilde{f}_j^{\ell+1}(1) = ilde{f}_j ilde{f}_{i,\ell} ilde{f}_j^\ell(1).$$

The above equality has a nice geometric interpretation in terms of equalities of lattice paths.

#### Conjecture

If b and b' are 2 sequences of Kashiwara operators such that b(1) = b'(1), then given  $k \in U^-$ , b(k) = b'(k).

Conjecture 2 allows us to perform the move given by Conjecture 1 anywhere along a path corresponding to a sequence of Kashiwara operators.

Conclusion

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## **Combinatorial Structures**

## Definition (Steep path)

A steep path is a sequence of Kashiwara operators  $\tilde{f}_j^{s_{m+1}}\tilde{f}_{i,t_m}\tilde{f}_j^{s_m}\ldots\tilde{f}_{i,t_1}\tilde{f}_j^{s_1}$ , where for all  $k, t_k \ge s_k$ .

From Conjecture 1 and 2, it follows that:

#### Claim

Any sequence of Kashiwara operators is equal to a steep path.

#### Claim

No two steep paths are equal.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## A combinatorial characterization

There is a formula that gives the number of distinct Kashiwarwa operators applied to 1 that end at a given lattice point.

#### Claim

The number of steep paths to a lattice point (n, m) is the number of distinct Kashiwara operators applied to 1 that end at (n, m).

## Conjecture (Main Conjecture)

2 sequences of Kashiwara operators are equal on  $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$  if their corresponding steep paths are equal.

The above theorem gives a combinatorial characterization of Kashiwara operators, as we desired.

Conclusion

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

## Example

# Process to decide equality of 2 sequences of Kashiwara operators:

- transform each path to its corresponding steep path
- if the steep paths are equal then the operators are equal
- if the steep paths are not equal then the operators are distinct

#### Combinatorial process of applying Kashiwara operators:

- add on path to existing path
- make the new path steep

Conclusion

## Ideas and future directions

#### Ideas

- ► Conjecture 1: Use explicit description of *f̃<sub>j</sub>* and *f̃<sub>i,ℓ</sub>* and Serre relation.
- Conjecture 2: Still thinking!
- Our proof that the number of steep paths to a lattice point is equal to the number of distinct sequences of Kashiwara operators to that point provides great evidence to support the above 2 conjectures.

#### **Future Directions**

Explore more quivers, in particular adding more real vertices to a central imaginary vertex. Our work in this case already implies many facts about these future cases.

Background

Results

Conclusion

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

## Acknowledgements

- My mentor Seth Shelley-Abrahamson.
- Tristan Bozec, for suggesting this project.
- Professor Etingof, Dr. Gerovitch and Dr. Khovanova.
- My parents.
- MIT-PRIMES.

Background

Results

Conclusion

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



### Bozec, Tristan. Quivers with loops and generalized crystals. http://arxiv.org/abs/1403.0846.